



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,604	12/17/2001	Shinichiro Hamada	217398US2RD	5932
22850 75	90 01/05/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			SMITH, PETER J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/015,604	HAMADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter J. Smith	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be town will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 C	October 2005					
<u></u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
·						
•	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,9-12,14 and 15</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
<u> </u>						
	6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,9-12,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.					
<u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1449)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/015,604 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: RCE amendment filed on 10/13/2005.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-12, 14, and 15 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 6, and 11 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-12, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Silva et al. (hereinafter "Silva"), US 6,976,210 B1 provisional filed 8/31/1999.

Regarding independent claims 1, 6, and 11, Silva discloses extracting one or a plurality of partial documents from the first documents according to locations of the first documents on the Internet and ranges of the partial documents to be extracted in fig. 2, 6-8, col. 5 line 22 – col. 8 line 21, and col. 11 line 35 – col. 12 line 3. Silva discloses wherein the locations and the ranges are described by the specific markup language in the second document in col. 9 lines 16-26. Silva discloses that the markup expression for extracting the partial documents is stored in the Web view composite display document. Silva discloses inserting the partial documents extracted by the extracting step into the second document to generate a document structure containing original document structures of the first and second documents according to insertion

Art Unit: 2176

positions of the partial documents on the second document in fig. 2, 6-8, and col. 8 line 66 – col. 9 line 15. Silva discloses wherein the insertion positions are described by the specific markup language in the second document in col. 9 lines 16-26. Silva discloses converting the document structure generated by the inserting step into a desired document structure according to ranges of the second document to be converted including the partial documents inserted by the inserting step and identification information of a file describing a conversion rule for converting the document structure into the desired document structure, the ranges and the identification information described by the specific markup language in the second document in fig. 2, col. 7 line 16 – col. 8 line 14, and col. 9 lines 16-26. Silva teaches that the parser can correct, or convert, the tag structure into the desired structure in col. 7 lines 47-52. Therefore Silva teaches converting a current document structure into a desired document structure.

Regarding dependent claims 2, 7, and 12, Silva discloses wherein the second document is described by using at least a tag for describing the locations of the first documents on the Internet and the ranges of the partial documents to be extracted in fig. 2 and col. 5 line 22 – col. 8 line 14. Silva discloses wherein the second document is described by using at least a tag for describing specifying the insertion positions of the partial documents on the second document in fig. 2 and col. 8 line 66 – col. 9 line 15.

Regarding dependent claims 4, 9, and 14, Silva discloses using at least a tag for specifying the ranges for which the document structure of the second document is to be converted and describing the identification information of the file describing the conversion rule in fig. 2, col. 5 line 65 – col. 6 line 29, col. 7 line 16 – col. 8 line 14, and col. 9 lines 16-26.

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding dependent claims 5, 10, and 15, Silva discloses wherein the second document is described by Extensible Markup Language (XML), and when the first documents are not described by the XML, the extracting step extracts the partial documents from the first documents after converting the first documents into a description format according to the XML in col. 7 line 16 – col. 8 line 14.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-12, 14, and 15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. Upon further consideration and search, the Examiner has found the prior art reference of Silva et al. (hereinafter "Silva") published on 12/13/2005 and not available during the previous Office Action. The Examiner believes Silva teaches extracting partial documents from Web page documents, inserting the extracted partial documents into a second document, and converting the document structure generated by the inserting into a desired document structure as is taught by the claimed invention. The final desired document structure of Silva is called a Web view file. The Web view file contains script and specification commands which extract partial documents from a plurality of Web page sources and integrates them into a single output document. The positioning of the partial documents are determined by the layout information. Silva teaches a parser that corrects, or converts, the tag structure generated by the inserted partial documents into a desired document structure. Therefore, the Examiner has rejected the claimed invention as being anticipated by Silva.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,604

Art Unit: 2176

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reshef et al., US 6,865,593 B1 provisional filed 4/12/2000 discloses dynamic integration of web sites. Sugiura et al., "Internet Scrapbook: Automating Web Browsing Tasks by Demonstration", Proceedings of the 11th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology, published by ACM Press, 1998, pages 9-18 discloses a user creating a personal page by clipping only necessary portions from multiple Web pages.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-4101. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather R. Herndon can be reached on 571-272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PJS 12/28/2005

WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

1/3/2006

Page 5